

S/N 10/055,775  
Page 8 of 10

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 08 2007

## REMARKS/DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

This response is intended as a full and complete response to the Final Office Action mailed February 8, 2007. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-22 and 99-104 are currently pending. As explained below, all of the pending claims are patentable.

### I. INTERVIEW SUMMARY

A telephone interview was conducted on June 6, 2007 with the Examiner and Applicants' representative, Kin-Wah Tong. Applicants' representative would like to thank the Examiner for taking a substantial amount of time to discuss the case on the merit. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 were discussed. An initial agreement was reached that Applicants would submit a Request for Continued Examination with an amendment to the claims changing the term "end-products" back to "target products". The Examiner indicated that he will reconsider the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 in view of the amendments.

### II. SPECIFICATION

The specification stands objected to because the Examiner states that "if" is misspelled. Applicants amended the phrase identified by the Examiner to replace "iff" with "if and only if," which is the mathematical meaning of "iff." Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the objection.

### III. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112 - WRITTEN DESCRIPTION

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-22 and 99-104 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Applicants have amended the independent claims to replace the term "end-products" with "target products". The Examiner indicated during the interview that he will reconsider the present rejection in view of this amendment. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of the claims.

It should be noted that the term "target products" were initially amended to "end-products" in a Response to a Final Office Action dated May 19, 2006. Thus, the

S/N 10/055,775  
Page 9 of 10

present Response has the effect of withdrawing the amendments and arguments presented in the May 19, 2006 Response.

#### **IV. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112 - ENABLEMENT**

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-22 and 99-104 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Again, Applicants have amended the independent claims to replace the term "end-products" with "target products". The Examiner indicated during the interview that he will reconsider the present rejection in view of this amendment. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of the claims.

It should be noted that the term "target products" were initially amended to "end-products" in a Response to a Final Office Action dated May 19, 2006. Thus, the present Response has the effect of withdrawing the amendments and arguments presented in the May 19, 2006 Response.

#### **V. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH**

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-22 and 99-104 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph pertaining to the terms "sufficient" and "insufficient. Again, Applicants have amended the independent claims to replace the term "end-products" with "target products". The Examiner indicated during the interview that he will reconsider the present rejection in view of this amendment. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of the claims.

It should be noted that the term "target products" were initially amended to "end-products" in a Response to a Final Office Action dated May 19, 2006. Thus, the present Response has the effect of withdrawing the amendments and arguments presented in the May 19, 2006 Response.

S/N 10/055,775  
Page 10 of 10

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 08 2007


**CONCLUSION**

Applicants submit that all claims now pending are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, both reconsideration of this application and its swift passage to issuance are earnestly solicited.

If, however, the Examiner believes that any unresolved issues still exist, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the undersigned at (732) 530-9404 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for resolving such issues as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 8, 2007

  
Kin-Wah Tong, Esq.  
Reg. No. 39,400  
Attorney for Applicants  
Patterson & Sheridan, LLP  
595 Shrewsbury Avenue  
Suite 100,  
Shrewsbury, NJ 07702